IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

MONDAY, THE 20TH AUGUST 2007 / 29TH SRAVANA 1929

WP(C).No. 25236 of 2007(D)

PETITIONER:

---

1. P.PADMAKUMARI,KAVUMPURATH VEEDU,
   KIDANGAYAM KANNIMEL, MYNAGAPPALLY NORTH P.O.,
   KOLLAM-690519.
   NOW WORKING AS L.V.E.O.BLOCK OFFICE OCHIRA.

2. MARY B, KILITHATTIL HOSUE,ADICHANALLOOR,
   KOLLAM.NOW WORKING AS L.V.E.O BLOCK OFFICE.
   CHITTUMALA.

3. SUSHAMA, R, AMBALATHUM VETHUKKAL,
   P.O. PUTHENTHURA,
   NOW WORKING L.V.E.O. BLOCK OFFICE,
   CHITTUMALA.

BY ADV. SRI.S.EASWARAN

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, KOLLAM.

2. THE COMMISSIONERATE OF RURAL
   DEVELOPMENT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. BIJOY CHANDRAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 20/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ANTONY DOMINIC, J.

= = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
W.P.(C) No. 25236 OF 2007 D
= = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
Dated this the 20th August, 2007

J U D G M E N T

Petitioners are Lady Village Extension Officers who were appointed by Ext. P1 order on a temporary basis for a period of 179 days. The period of their appointment has already expired and according to them, they should be allowed to continue in office. In view of the terms of appointment as contained in Ext. P1, they have no right to continue beyond the term of their appointment. Even if the respondents seek to engage fresh hands in replacement of the petitioners, the petitioners have no cause to complain against the same as others are also entitled to get similar opportunities. Writ petition lacks merits and dismissed.

ANTONY DOMINIC
JUDGE

jan/-